CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

SPECIAL MEETING NOTICE

109 E. OLIVE

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 24, 2014 5:30 P.M.

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call
- 3. Public Comment
- 4. Public Hearing regarding Proposed Text Amendment to Chapter 1 of the City Code Relating to Ordinance Enforcement Through Administrative Adjudication.
 - (i) Introduction of Administrative Adjudication Angela Fyans-Jimenez, Deputy Corporation Counsel.
 - (ii) Public Participation: Comments limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. A speaker cannot give his/her allotted minutes to another speaker to increase that person's allotted time.
 - (iii) Council Discussion/Feedback. (*Time permitting*)
- 5. Adjourn.



FOR COUNCIL: November 10, 2014

SUBJECT: Text Amendment to Chapter 1. Adoption of Administrative Adjudication System

RECOMMENDATION/MOTION: Recommend that the Text Amendment to Chapter 1 of the Bloomington City Code Relating to Ordinance Enforcement through Administrative Adjudication be approved and the Ordinance passed.

STRATEGIC PLAN LINK: Goal 1. Financially sound city providing quality basic services.

STRATEGIC PLAN SIGNIFICANCE: Objective1d. City Services delivered in the most cost-effective, efficient manner.

BACKGROUND: For several months, City staff, including several City departments, have been working on the establishment of an administrative adjudication system to adjudicate City ordinance violations, (OV). To establish an administrative court, the City need only pass an ordinance creating a hearing unit. A hearing officer will also need to be appointed who must be an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois for at least three (3) years. Upon approval of the ordinance establishing the administrative adjudication system, staff will issue a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to obtain the necessary hearing officers. Under administrative adjudication, fines cannot exceed \$50,000 and the hearing officer's decision can be enforced, after the appeal period, in the same manner as a court judgment. To ensure due process, decisions of the hearing officer are appealable under the Administrative Review Law.

As an overview of the process, complaints will be brought before the hearing officer by the filing of a written pleading by an authorized City official. Service of the pleading/complaint can simply be mailed to the defendant. A hearing will then be held, (at least fifteen/15 days after service), at the City Hall promptly after the pleading is filed and served.

Some of the benefits of administrative adjudication include: 1.) that the City will keep 100% of any fines and costs assessed as opposed to the portion of costs assessed by the county when the circuit court is utilized to handle complaints; 2.) convenience to residents and staff (e.g. establish hearing times that work for the City and hold the hearings at City Hall); 3.) a hearing officer is appointed to specifically concentrate on and enforce local City issues; 4.) the formal rules of evidence do not apply; and 5.) certain procedures allow some decisions/fines to be collected as a special assessment.

In 2013, the City filed approximately 1,200 code violations in circuit court. The vast majority of these are behavioral violations, with typically ten (10) or fewer property/building code violations being filed each month. This last October, the City filed 151 complaints in circuit court. Note that number of complaints filed does not represent the number of citations actually issued. If a citation is paid and/or timely resolved, no complaint is filed on the matter.

Under the current system, if a defendant is found guilty of a violation and issued a fine of \$100, the circuit court also assesses "court costs" in the amount of \$127. The City also collects attorney fees in the amount of \$25. Accordingly, a \$100 fine quickly turns into an actual financial obligation of \$252 on the part of the violator. A fine of \$200 has court costs of approximately \$147 and those with multiple violations are charged higher court costs. The ordinance proposed by staff includes a hearing cost charge of \$100, which should equate to a savings of approximately \$52 for offenders while still allowing the City to recoup its costs.

Under the administrative adjudication ordinance being proposed to the Council, the administrative court will have jurisdiction over all OV, including property, building code and behavioral violations.

Staff believes that establishment of a City administrative court will create an easier process for prosecuting code violations and thus bring about more accountability and increased code enforcement.

Upon adoption, the goal would be to have the administrative court established and operating by January 2015. This will allow time to obtain the necessary hearing officers, order the necessary forms and have the Code Hearing Department operational. Staff anticipates the minimal costs associated with the establishment of the Code Hearing Department, including any necessary security at City Hall during hearings, will be more than offset by the assessment of the hearing costs, increased prosecutions, enforcement actions and collections.

COMMUNITY GROUPS/INTERESTED PERSONS CONTACTED: Not applicable.

<u>FINANCIAL IMPACT:</u> This is a new program for the City and all financial impact is based on the data available and best estimates at this time. The financial impact of the program will be reevaluated after a few months and adjustments will be made if necessary.

The program will be managed by the Corporation Counsel Office and all accounts will be setup in this department. Corporation Counsel will issue an RFQ to hire two (2) contractual hearing officers at an estimated cost of \$145 per hour. There will be the potential for four (4) hours of hearings each Wednesday. The defendant will be charged \$100 per violation. Based on current historical data, there are 100 cases found in favor of the City. All non-compliant defendants who do not pay will be turned over to a collection agency who will keep thirty percent (30%) of the fines. Bad debt is estimated at ten percent (10%).

If the program is approved, a budget amendment will be brought back to Council to adjust the current year budget for estimates of both revenues and expenditures.

Program Estimated Monthly Financial Impact

Revenue	
Court fee per violation	\$100
Court cases per month	100
Court fee revenue/month (based on wins) Less 10% Bad Debt (10 cases/month – collection fee of 30% of court	\$10,000
fee/violation)	\$(300)
Total Revenue	\$9,700
Expenses	
Hearing Officers 16 hours/month @\$145/hour	\$2,320
Forms and other administrative fees	\$250
Total Expenses	\$2,570
Net Revenue	\$7 130

Respectfully submitted for Council consideration.

Tilk Her

Prepared by: Jeffrey R. Jurgens, Corporation Counsel

Financial & budgetary review by: Carla A. Murillo, Budget Manager

Recommended by:

David A. Hales City Manager

Attachments: Attachment 1. Ordinance

Motion: That the Text Amendment to Chapter 1 of the Bloomington City Code Relating to Ordinance Enforcement through Administrative Adjudication be approved and the Ordinance passed.

Motion:	Seconded by:
	211311111111111111111111111111111111111

	Aye	Nay	Other		Aye	Nay	Other
Alderman Black				Alderman Painter			
Alderman Fruin				Alderman Sage			
Alderman Hauman				Alderman Schmidt			
Alderman Lower				Alderman Stearns			
Alderman Mwilambwe							
				Mayor Renner			

ORDINANCE 2014 - ____

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 1 OF THE BLOOMINGTON CITY CODE RELATING TO ORDINANCE ENFORCEMENT THROUGH ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, ILLINOIS:

SECTION 1. That Chapter 1 of the Bloomington City Code, 1960, as amended, be further amended by adding Section 9.1 as follows:

Chapter 1: Section 9.1: Administrative Adjudication.

(a) Definitions.

For the purposes of this Section, the following definitions shall apply unless the context clearly indicates or requires a different meaning:

- (1) Alleged violator. Person charged with violating any ordinance or law in the City of Bloomington.
- (2) Building code. Any City ordinance or law that establishes construction, plumbing, heating, electrical, fire prevention, sanitation or other health and safety standards that are applicable to structures in the City.
- (3) Code violation. Non-compliance with any building code or non-building code ordinance or law adopted by the City.
- (4) Hearing Officer. A licensed attorney in the State of Illinois who is appointed by the City Manager and who meets the requirements of Section 9.1(d), whose duty it is to:
 - a. Preside at an administrative hearing called to determine whether or not a Code violation exists;
 - b. Hear testimony and accept evidence from the inspector, the building or property owner and all interested parties relevant to the existence of a Code violation;
 - c. Take judicial notice of all public records of McLean County, Illinois;
 - d. Preserve and authenticate the record of the hearing and all exhibits and evidence introduced at the hearing; and
 - e. Issue and sign a written finding, decision and order stating whether a Code violation exists.

- (5) Inspector. A City employee whose duties include the inspection and examination of structures or property in the City to determine if zoning or other Code violations exist.
- (6) Non-building code. Any City ordinance or law, except for a building code ordinance or law, which prohibit certain actions or behaviors that are contrary to the public's health, safety and welfare.
- (7) Officer. A full or part-time Bloomington Police Department Officer or Security Officer.

(b) Code Hearing Division.

- (1) There is hereby established a Code Hearing Division, the function of which is to expedite the prosecution and correction of Code violations in the manner set out in this Section. The hearing officer and such other agents or employees assigned to assist the hearing officer by the City Manager shall constitute the Code Hearing Division.
- (2) The adoption of this Section does not preclude the City from using other lawful methods to enforce the provisions of this Code.

(c) Powers of the Hearing Officer.

The Hearing Officer shall have the following powers:

- (1) Preside at an administrative hearing called to determine whether or not a Code violation exists;
- (2) Hear testimony and accept evidence that is relevant to the existence of a Code violation;
- (3) Issue subpoenas directing witnesses to appear and give relevant testimony at the hearing, upon the request of the parties or their representatives;
- (4) Preserve and authenticate the record of the hearing and all exhibits and evidence introduced at the hearing;
- (5) Issue a written determination based on the evidence presented at the hearing, stating whether a Code violation exists. The determination shall be in writing and shall include a written finding of fact, decision and order including the fine, penalty, or action with which the defendant must comply; and
- (6) Impose penalties consistent with applicable Code provisions and assess costs upon finding a party liable for the charged violation. The maximum monetary fine under this Section shall not exceed \$50,000.00. The fine shall be exclusive of costs of enforcement or costs imposed to secure compliance with the City's ordinances and shall not be applicable to cases to enforce the collection of any tax imposed and collected by the municipality.

(d) Requirements of the Hearing Officer.

Prior to conducting a hearing as provided under this Section, the Hearing Officer shall have successfully completed a formal training program which includes the following:

- (1) Instruction on the rule of procedure of the administrative hearings which he or she will conduct:
- (2) Orientation to each subject area of the Code violations that they will adjudicate;
- (3) Observation of administrative hearings;
- (4) Participation in hypothetical cases, including ruling on evidence and issuing final orders;

The Hearing Officer must be an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois for at least three years. The Corporation Counsel and City Manager shall certify that all requirements provided under this subsection (d) have been met.

(e) <u>Code Hearing Procedure.</u>

- (1) When an inspector or officer finds a code violation, he shall note the violation on a violation notice and report form, indicating the name and address of the property owner of alleged violator, the type and nature of the violation, the date and time the violation was observed, the names of witnesses to the violation, and the address of the property or location where the violation was observed.
- (2) The violation report form shall be forwarded by the inspector or officer to the Code Hearing Division where a docket number shall be affixed to the form and a hearing date noted in the blank spaces provided for that purpose on the form. For any Code violation, the hearing date shall not be less than 14 days after a Code violation is reported by the inspector or officer. Hearings shall be scheduled with reasonable promptness, provided that an alleged violator shall have at least 15 days after service of process to prepare for a hearing. If service is provided by mail, the 15-day period shall begin to run on the day that the notice is deposited in the mail.
- (3) One copy of the violation report form shall be maintained in the files of the Code Hearing Division and shall be part of the record of hearing; one copy of the report form shall be returned to the inspector or officer; and one copy of the report form shall be served by personal service or first class mail on the alleged violator or the owner of the property, in the case of a code violation, along with a summons commanding the owner to appear at the hearing. For Code violations, if the name of the owner of the property cannot be ascertained or if service on the owner cannot be made by mail, service may be made on the owner by posting or nailing a copy of the violation report form on the front door of the property where the violation was found, not less than 14 days before the hearing date.

- (4) Parties shall be served with process in a manner reasonably calculated to give them actual notice, including, as appropriate, personal service of process upon a party or its employees or agents; service by mail at a party's address; or notice that is posted upon the property where a Code violation is found when the party is the owner or manager of the property.
- (5) Parties shall be given notice of the hearing which includes the type and nature of the Code violation to be adjudicated, the date and location of the hearing, the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held, and the penalties for failure to appear at the hearing.
- (6) Parties shall be provided with an opportunity for a hearing during which they may be represented by counsel, present witnesses, and cross examine opposing witnesses. Parties may request the Hearing Officer to issue subpoenas to direct the attendance and testimony of relevant witnesses and the production of relevant documents.

(f) Subpoenas.

At any time prior to the hearing date, the Hearing Officer assigned to hear the case may, at the request of the inspector, the officer, attorney for the City, or the alleged violator or his attorney, issue subpoenas directing witnesses to appear and give testimony at the hearing.

(g) <u>Default.</u>

- (1) If on the date set for hearing the alleged violator or his attorney fails to appear, the Hearing Officer may find the alleged violator in default and shall proceed with the hearing and accept evidence relevant to the existence of a Code violation.
- (2) No violation may be established except upon proof by a preponderance of the evidence; provided, however, that a violation notice or a copy thereof, issued and signed in accordance with subsection (e) shall be prima facie evidence of the correctness of the facts specified therein.

(h) Representation at Code Hearings and Continuances.

- (1) The case for the City may be presented by an attorney designated by the City Manager. However, in no event shall the case for the City be presented by an employee of the Code Hearing Division. The case for a dwelling owner involving a Code violation may be presented by the owner, his attorney, or any other agent or representative as allowed by law. The case for an alleged violator involving a Code violation may be presented by the alleged violator or his attorney.
- (2) For Code violations, continuances shall only be authorized by the Hearing Officer and/or by agreement with City Legal. Lack of preparation shall not be grounds for a continuance in proceedings under this Section except in cases where good cause is shown at the hearing.

(i) Evidence at Hearing.

- (1) At the hearing, a Hearing Officer shall preside and shall hear testimony and accept any evidence relevant to the existence or nonexistence of a Code violation. The strict rules of evidence applicable to judicial proceedings shall not apply to hearings authorized by this Section. Evidence, including hearsay, may be admitted only if it is of a type commonly relied upon by reasonably prudent persons in the conduct of their affairs.
- (2) All testimony shall be given under oath or affirmation.

(j) Retaliatory Action Against Occupants Prohibited.

No action for eviction, abatement of a nuisance, forcible entry and detainer or other similar proceedings shall be threatened or instituted against an occupant of a dwelling solely because such occupant agrees to testify or testifies at a Code violation hearing.

(k) <u>Defenses to Code Violations.</u>

It shall be a defense to a Code violation charged under the Bloomington City Code if the owner, his attorney, or any other agent or representative proves to the Hearing Officer's satisfaction that:

- (1) The Code violation alleged in the notice did not in fact exist at the time of the alleged violation; or
- (2) For violations of a building code or property code, the Code violation has been removed or remedied by the alleged violator at the time of the hearing, but only if the alleged violator has not had a Code violation within the previous 24 months. The violator shall be required to provide proof of compliance.

(1) Findings, Decision, Order of the Hearing Officer, Hearing Costs.

(1) At the conclusion of the hearing, the Hearing Officer shall make a determination, on the basis of the evidence presented at the hearing, whether or not a Code violation exists. The determination shall be in writing and shall be designated as the findings, decision and order. The findings, decision and order shall include the Hearing Officer's findings of fact, a decision whether or not a Code violation exists based upon the findings of fact, and an order, ordering the owner to correct the violation or dismissing the case in the event a violation is not proved. If a Code violation is proved, the order may also impose the sanctions that are provided in the Code for the violation proved. A copy of the findings, decision and order shall be served on the owner within five days after they are issued. Service shall be in the same manner as the report form and summons are served pursuant to subsection (e) of this Section. Payment of fines shall be made as directed by the City Manager and the disposition of fine money may be determined, from time to time, by separate ordinance or resolution of the City Council.

- (2) In the event that the order provided for the correction of the violation, the Hearing Officer shall establish a hearing date which would be after the date established for the correction of the violation in order to determine compliance with the order. At such time, the Hearing Officer shall hear testimony and accept any evidence relevant to the abatement of the violation in accordance with the order.
- (3) If the hearing officer determines that a Code violation exists, the Hearing Officer shall order payment to cover the costs of the hearing in the amount of \$100.00. The costs shall become an amount due and owing to the City and shall be part of the order issued against the violator.

(m) Administrative Review Law to Apply.

Any final decision by a Hearing Officer that a Code violation does or does not exist shall constitute a final determination for purposes of judicial review and shall be subject to review under the Illinois Administrative Review Law. Any person filing for judicial review under the Administrative Review Law shall be subject to the assessment of costs for the preparation and certification of the record of proceedings before the Hearing Officer. Any failure to pay such fee shall subject the party seeking review to the provisions of 735 ILCS 5/3-109, including dismissal of the complaint on a motion by the City.

(n) Enforcement of Judgment.

- (1) Any fine, other sanction, or costs imposed, or part of any fine, other sanction, or costs imposed, remaining unpaid after the exhaustion of or the failure to exhaust judicial review procedures under the Illinois Administrative Review Law are a debt due and owing the municipality and may be collected in accordance with applicable law.
- (2) After expiration of the period in which judicial review under the Illinois Administrative Review Law may be sought for a final determination of a Code violation, unless stayed by a court of competent jurisdiction, the findings, decision and order of the Hearing Officer may be enforced in the same manner as a judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (3) In any case in which a defendant has failed to comply with a judgment ordering a defendant to correct a Code violation or imposing any fine or other sanction as a result of a Code violation, any expenses incurred by a municipality to enforce the judgment, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees, court costs, and costs related to property demolition or foreclosure, after they are fixed by a court of competent jurisdiction or a Hearing Officer, shall be a debt due and owing the municipality and may be collected in accordance with applicable law. Prior to any expenses being fixed by a hearing officer pursuant to this subsection (3), the City shall provide notice to the defendant that states that the defendant shall appear at a hearing before the Hearing Officer to determine whether the defendant has failed to comply with the judgment. The notice shall set the date for such a hearing, which shall not be less than seven days from the date that notice

is served. If notice is served by mail, the seven day period shall begin to run on the date that the notice was deposited in the mail.

- (4) Upon being recorded in the manner required by Article XII of the Code of Civil Procedure or by the Uniform Commercial Code, a lien shall be imposed on the real estate or personal estate, or both, of the defendant in the amount of any debt due and owing the City under this Section. The lien may be enforced in the same manner as a judgment lien pursuant to a judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (5) A Hearing Officer may set aside any judgment entered by default and set a new hearing date, upon a petition filed within 21 days after the issuance of the order of default, if the Hearing Officer determines that the petitioner's failure to appear at the hearing was for good cause or at any time if the petitioner establishes that the City did not provide proper service of process. If any judgment is set aside pursuant to this paragraph (5), the hearing officer shall have authority to enter an order extinguishing any lien which has been recorded for any debt due and owing the City as a result of the vacated default judgment.

(o) Findings and Sanctions to Run with Property on Code Violations.

The order to correct a Code violation and the sanctions imposed by the City as the result of a fine of a Code violation under this Section shall attach to the property as well as to the owner of the property, so that a finding of a Code violation against one owner cannot be avoided by conveying or transferring the property to another owner. Any subsequent transferee or owner of property takes subject to the findings, decision and order of a Hearing Officer under this Section.

SECTION 2. Except as provided herein, the Bloomington City Code, 1960, as amended shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 3. The City Clerk is hereby authorized to publish this ordinance in pamphlet form as provided by law.

SECTION 4. This ordinance shall be effective ten (10) days after the date of its publication.

SECTION 5. This ordinance is passed and approved pursuant to the home rule authority granted Article VII, Section 6 of the 1970 Illinois Constitution.

PASSED this 10 th	day of November, 2014.
APPROVED this	day of November, 2014.

APPROVED:

Tari Renner Mayor ATTEST:

Tracey Covert City Clerk

Information maintained by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Updating the database of the Illinois Compiled Statutes (ILCS) is an ongoing process. Recent laws may not yet be included in the ILCS database, but they are found on this site as Public Acts soon after they become law. For information concerning the relationship between statutes and Public Acts, refer to the Guide.

Because the statute database is maintained primarily for legislative drafting purposes, statutory changes are sometimes included in the statute database before they take effect. If the source note at the end of a Section of the statutes includes a Public Act that has not yet taken effect, the version of the law that is currently in effect may have already been removed from the database and you should refer to that Public Act to see the changes made to the current law.

MUNICIPALITIES (65 ILCS 5/) Illinois Municipal Code.

```
(65 ILCS 5/Art. 1 Div. 2.1 heading)
DIVISION 2.1. ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATIONS
```

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-1)

Sec. 1-2.1-1. Applicability. This Division 2.1 applies only to municipalities that are home rule units. (Source: P.A. 90-516, eff. 1-1-98.)

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-2)

Sec. 1-2.1-2. Administrative adjudication of municipal code violations. Any municipality may provide by ordinance for a system of administrative adjudication of municipal code violations to the extent permitted by the Illinois Constitution. A "system of administrative adjudication" means the adjudication of any violation of a municipal ordinance, except for (i) proceedings not within the statutory or the home rule authority of municipalities; and (ii) any offense under the Illinois Vehicle Code or a similar offense that is a traffic regulation governing the movement of vehicles and except for any reportable offense under Section 6-204 of the Illinois Vehicle Code.

(Source: P.A. 90-516, eff. 1-1-98.)

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-3)

Sec. 1-2.1-3. Administrative adjudication procedures not exclusive. The adoption by a municipality of a system of administrative adjudication does not preclude the municipality from using other methods to enforce municipal ordinances. (Source: P.A. 90-516, eff. 1-1-98.)

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-4)

Sec. 1-2.1-4. Code hearing units; powers of hearing officers.

- (a) An ordinance establishing a system of administrative adjudication, pursuant to this Division, shall provide for a code hearing unit within an existing agency or as a separate agency in the municipal government. The ordinance shall establish the jurisdiction of a code hearing unit that is consistent with this Division. The "jurisdiction" of a code hearing unit refers to the particular code violations that it may adjudicate.
- (b) Adjudicatory hearings shall be presided over by hearing officers. The powers and duties of a hearing officer

shall include:

- (1) hearing testimony and accepting evidence that is relevant to the existence of the code violation;
- (2) issuing subpoenas directing witnesses to appear and give relevant testimony at the hearing, upon the request of the parties or their representatives;
- (3) preserving and authenticating the record of the hearing and all exhibits and evidence introduced at the hearing;
- (4) issuing a determination, based on the evidence presented at the hearing, of whether a code violation exists. The determination shall be in writing and shall include a written finding of fact, decision, and order including the fine, penalty, or action with which the defendant must comply; and
- (5) imposing penalties consistent with applicable code provisions and assessing costs upon finding a party liable for the charged violation, except, however, that in no event shall the hearing officer have authority to (i) impose a penalty of incarceration, or (ii) impose a fine in excess of \$50,000, or at the option of municipality, such other amount not to exceed the maximum amount established by the Mandatory Arbitration System as prescribed by the Rules of the Illinois Supreme Court from time to time for the judicial circuit in which the municipality is located. The maximum monetary fine under this item (5), shall be exclusive of costs of enforcement costs imposed to secure compliance with municipality's ordinances and shall not be applicable to cases to enforce the collection of any tax imposed and collected by the municipality.
- (c) Prior to conducting administrative adjudication proceedings, administrative hearing officers shall have successfully completed a formal training program which includes the following:
 - (1) instruction on the rules of procedure of the administrative hearings which they will conduct;
 - (2) orientation to each subject area of the code violations that they will adjudicate;
 - (3) observation of administrative hearings; and
 - (4) participation in hypothetical cases, including ruling on evidence and issuing final orders.

In addition, every administrative hearing officer must be an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois for at least 3 years.

(d) A proceeding before a code hearing unit shall be instituted upon the filing of a written pleading by an authorized official of the municipality. (Source: P.A. 90-516, eff. 1-1-98.)

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-5)

Sec. 1-2.1-5. Administrative hearing proceedings.

(a) Any ordinance establishing a system of administrative adjudication, pursuant to this Division, shall afford parties due process of law, including notice and opportunity for hearing. Parties shall be served with process in a manner reasonably calculated to give them actual notice, including, as appropriate, personal service of process upon a party or its employees or agents; service by mail at a party's address; or notice that is posted upon the property where the violation

is found when the party is the owner or manager of the property. In municipalities with a population under 3,000,000, if the notice requires the respondent to answer within a certain amount of time, the municipality must reply to the answer within the same amount of time afforded to the respondent.

- (b) Parties shall be given notice of an adjudicatory hearing which includes the type and nature of the code violation to be adjudicated, the date and location of the adjudicatory hearing, the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held, and the penalties for failure to appear at the hearing.
- (c) Parties shall be provided with an opportunity for a hearing during which they may be represented by counsel, present witnesses, and cross-examine opposing witnesses. Parties may request the hearing officer to issue subpoenas to direct the attendance and testimony of relevant witnesses and the production of relevant documents. Hearings shall be scheduled with reasonable promptness, provided that for hearings scheduled in all non-emergency situations, if requested by the defendant, the defendant shall have at least 15 days after service of process to prepare for a hearing. For purposes of this subsection (c), "non-emergency situation" means any situation that does not reasonably constitute a threat to the public interest, safety, or welfare. If service is provided by mail, the 15-day period shall begin to run on the day that the notice is deposited in the mail. (Source: P.A. 94-616, eff. 1-1-06.)

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-6)

Sec. 1-2.1-6. Rules of evidence shall not govern. The formal and technical rules of evidence do not apply in an adjudicatory hearing permitted under this Division. Evidence, including hearsay, may be admitted only if it is of a type commonly relied upon by reasonably prudent persons in the conduct of their affairs.

(Source: P.A. 90-516, eff. 1-1-98.)

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-7)

Sec. 1-2.1-7. Judicial review. Any final decision by a code hearing unit that a code violation does or does not exist shall constitute a final determination for purposes of judicial review and shall be subject to review under the Illinois Administrative Review Law.

(Source: P.A. 90-516, eff. 1-1-98.)

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-8)

Sec. 1-2.1-8. Enforcement of judgment.

- (a) Any fine, other sanction, or costs imposed, or part of any fine, other sanction, or costs imposed, remaining unpaid after the exhaustion of or the failure to exhaust judicial review procedures under the Illinois Administrative Review Law are a debt due and owing the municipality and may be collected in accordance with applicable law.
- (b) After expiration of the period in which judicial review under the Illinois Administrative Review Law may be sought for a final determination of a code violation, unless stayed by a court of competent jurisdiction, the findings, decision, and order of the hearing officer may be enforced in the same manner as a judgment entered by a court of competent

jurisdiction.

- (c) In any case in which a defendant has failed to comply with a judgment ordering a defendant to correct a code violation or imposing any fine or other sanction as a result of a code violation, any expenses incurred by a municipality to enforce the judgment, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees, court costs, and costs related to property demolition or foreclosure, after they are fixed by a court of competent jurisdiction or a hearing officer, shall be a debt due and owing the municipality and may be collected in accordance with applicable law. Prior to any expenses being fixed by a hearing officer pursuant to this subsection (c), the municipality shall provide notice to the defendant that states that the defendant shall appear at a hearing before the administrative hearing officer to determine whether the defendant has failed to comply with the judgment. The notice shall set the date for such a hearing, which shall not be less than 7 days from the date that notice is served. If notice is served by mail, the 7-day period shall begin to run on the date that the notice was deposited in the mail.
- (d) Upon being recorded in the manner required by Article XII of the Code of Civil Procedure or by the Uniform Commercial Code, a lien shall be imposed on the real estate or personal estate, or both, of the defendant in the amount of any debt due and owing the municipality under this Section. The lien may be enforced in the same manner as a judgment lien pursuant to a judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (e) A hearing officer may set aside any judgment entered by default and set a new hearing date, upon a petition filed within 21 days after the issuance of the order of default, if the hearing officer determines that the petitioner's failure to appear at the hearing was for good cause or at any time if the petitioner establishes that the municipality did not provide proper service of process. If any judgment is set aside pursuant to this subsection (e), the hearing officer shall have authority to enter an order extinguishing any lien which has been recorded for any debt due and owing the municipality as a result of the vacated default judgment. (Source: P.A. 90-516, eff. 1-1-98.)

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-9)

Sec. 1-2.1-9. Impact on existing administrative adjudication systems. This Division shall not affect the validity of systems of administrative adjudication that were authorized by State law, including home rule authority, and in existence prior to the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1997.

(Source: P.A. 90-516, eff. 1-1-98.)

(65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-10)

Sec. 1-2.1-10. Impact on home rule authority. This Division shall not preempt municipalities from adopting other systems of administrative adjudication pursuant to their home rule powers.

(Source: P.A. 90-516, eff. 1-1-98.)