MINUTES OF THE BLOOMINGTON LIQUOR COMMISSION

April 12, 2011

The Bloomington Liquor Commissioner Stephen Stockton called the Liquor Hearing to order to hear the request of Levi T. Sturgeon and Carl Olson to allow moderate consumption of alcohol at their June 23, 2012 civil union reception to be held at Miller Park Pavilion. Present at the hearing were Liquor Commissioners Steve Stockton, Richard Buchanan, Marabeth Clapp, and Geoffrey Tompkins; Rosalee Dodson, Asst. Corporation Counsel and Tracey Covert, City Clerk, and Levi Sturgeon, request representative.

Commissioner Stockton opened the liquor hearing and requested that Levi Sturgeon, request representative, address this request. Levi Sturgeon, 1008 Old Farm Rd., addressed the Commission. He and Carl Olson have planned their commitment ceremony. The event is scheduled for June 23, 2012. They plan to invite from 200 - 250 people to the ceremony/reception. The reception would be held at the Miller Park Pavilion. Mr. Sturgeon noted his large family. They had not planned to host a catered event. He requested that the Commission give consideration to a cash bar.

Commissioner Stockton noted the Commission interest in the facility's use. He cited current uses of the Pavilion. He noted various issues with this facility. The Commission hoped to avoid liquor consumption during the summer months. He cited the use of the park during the summer. In addition, he added his concern regarding a cash bar at the Pavilion. This would involve the sale of liquor at the Pavilion. He saw this as a complicating factor. He questioned the timing of the event. Mr. Sturgeon noted that the ceremony would be held around 3:00 - 4:00 p.m. The reception which would include dinner and commence at 5:00 p.m.

Commissioner Stockton restated that the reception would start at 5:00 p.m. He added that the Commission had only supported the consumption of beer and wine at City facilities. Mr. Sturgeon noted that the reception would end around 10:00 p.m. as the premise must be vacated by 11:00 p.m.

Commissioner Tompkins questioned if a licensed caterer had been selected. Mr. Sturgeon noted that either a license caterer or a City liquor license holder would be retained.

Commissioner Buchanan noted that this was a new process for the City. He was pleased that this type of event was being held at City facilities. He described the Pavilion and Davis Lodge as wonderful. He noted how active Miller Park was during the summer months. He had attended events at the Pavilion where alcohol had been served. These events had been well managed. He questioned if City staff had prepared a standard procedure for this type of request.

Commissioner Stockton recalled the Commission's initial guidelines. The requester had presented factors in support of this request. In addition, there were indicators which may lead to Commission to be opposed to same. He noted that children would be present in the park at this time. The reception would be held on a Saturday evening/night.

Commissioner Buchanan recalled past Commission discussions. He questioned if this request would be viewed as prohibited. Commissioner Stockton noted that until this request there had been no interest expressed in this facility. He expressed his concerns regarding the sale of all types of alcohol by the glass for consumption in the park at a time when there would be active use of same. He restated his concern regarding the consumption of alcohol. He acknowledged that this might not be an issue for the Commission/Council.

Commissioner Tompkins questioned uninvited guests. Mr. Sturgeon noted that the plan included a champagne toast. Alcohol service was intended for the guests. He noted that personally he did not consume alcohol.

Commissioner Clapp noted that each event was unique. She did not see the event as setting a precedent based upon the presentation. She questioned who would be the caterer and who would be responsible with security. Mr. Sturgeon noted that conversation had been held with Nelson's Catering. They had also considered entering into a contract with the Ozark House. If the Pavilion was not workable, the reception could be moved to Eastland Suites.

Commissioner Tompkins questioned the reason for this request. Mr. Sturgeon stated that he would be completing his Bachelor's Degree. Mr. Olson would be completing his Master's Degree. He noted that a number of reception facilities in the City were already booked.

Commissioner Clapp questioned specifications for the caterer. She added her concern regarding security. This event would be held in the afternoon. Mr. Sturgeon expressed his belief that security would be the responsibility of the caterer or someone else. He suggested that wrist bands could be provided to those who were eligible to be served. The caterer or hosts could verify the invited guests.

Commissioner Clapp questioned guests who remained at the Pavilion. Commissioner Stockton questioned guests who leave the reception. He restated that there would be children present in the park. He noted the primary purpose of Miller Park. He expressed his concern regarding party crashers. He stated an individual's dress may be a key to an attentive caterer. A cash bar involved a secondary license. His biggest concern was a bar in the middle of the park.

Commissioner Buchanan questioned if Mr. Sturgeon had look for opportunities to keep things from happening. He questioned if City staff would be present at these events. Commissioner Stockton noted that City staff was not present at Davis Lodge. Issues that impact costs including staffing and space availability.

Commissioner Stockton questioned flexibility regarding the reception time i.e. moved back. Mr. Sturgeon restated his preference that the dinner starts at 5:00 p.m. He questioned how Miller Park was different from Davis Lodge. Commissioner Stockton noted that Miller Park was the

City's largest park. He cited its various amenities. He added the setting at Davis Lodge. This facility may not be large enough for this event.

Commissioner Buchanan noted past discussions where City department heads were present. He expressed his belief that City staff would facilitate these events. Commissioner Clapp expressed her belief that City staff would establish standards. Commissioner Stockton noted City staff's concern regarding security. There were no rules regarding liquor service.

Commissioner Buchanan questioned if liquor service was separate from the use of the building. He believed that someone needed to be present to monitor these events and administer the use of the building.

Commissioner Clapp informed Mr. Sturgeon of what actions she would have taken if this was her event. Paperwork would have been completed, the caterer would have been informed of his/her responsibility, security would have been addressed, and a determination would have been made as to who would be in charge.

Mr. Sturgeon questioned the Commission's opinion regarding a secondary license. He added that the liquor license holder would be expected to comply with the law. Commissioner Stockton cited the City's request that a City liquor license holder be retained. This fact would give the City control and assurance that the license holder knew the law and City ordinance. He restated that there would not be a City employee present. He added that the license holder would also have something at stake. Alcohol service would be approved during a certain time.

Mr. Sturgeon informed the Commission that he had served on the DeWitt County Board. Commissioner Stockton noted that the first private event with alcohol service was for a wedding reception. It was held in the winter. He added that he would be more comfortable if the event started at 6:00 p.m. Mr. Sturgeon offered to discuss this request with his partner. This would mean that alcohol service would start after dinner. He also questioned if the City would extend the building's closing hour. He expressed his concern regarding the window of time for the event.

Motion by Commissioner Tompkins, seconded by Commissioner Clapp to lay this item over until the Commission's May 10, 2011 meeting.

Motion carried.

Commissioner Tompkins requested that the caterer be identified by the May 10, 2011 meeting. In addition, he requested information from staff and the requester concerning timing/location.

Commissioner Stockton restated that the primary purpose of Miller Park was to be a park and not to host receptions. Mr. Sturgeon noted that the event was over a year away. Commissioner Stockton stated that the Commission would make a recommendation to the Council. There would not be a contract. Mr. Sturgeon questioned the Commission's support if the stipulations were met. He also questioned if there was additional information that the Commission might be interested in. He questioned what was possible at Miller Park.

Commissioner Stockton expressed his opinion that liquor service in the park during the summer was a negative factor.

Commissioner Buchanan cited nearby liquor establishments. Someone could be present in the park who had been drinking. Commissioner Stockton noted that the Council has questioned proximity to the park. He expressed doubt that these establishments' patrons cut through the park.

There being no further business before the Commission, the meeting recessed at 4:41 p.m.

The Bloomington Liquor Commissioner Stephen Stockton called the Liquor Hearing to order to hear the request of Sally Wolfe and Brandon Curtis to allow moderate consumption of alcohol at their June 10, 2011 wedding reception to be held at Davis Lodge, Lake Bloomington. Present at the hearing were Liquor Commissioners Steve Stockton, Richard Buchanan, Marabeth Clapp, and Geoffrey Tompkins; Rosalee Dodson, Asst. Corporation Counsel and Tracey Covert, City Clerk, and Sally Wolfe and Brandon Curtis, request representatives.

Commissioner Stockton opened the liquor hearing and requested that Sally Wolfe and Brandon Curtis, request representatives, address their request. Sally Wolfe, 302 N. Woodlawn, addressed the Commission. The wedding reception is scheduled for June 10, 2011. They plan to invite 200 people to the ceremony/reception. The reception would start at 6:00 p.m. It would be a catered event. She noted that the plan included use of outdoor seating. Commissioner Stockton cautioned that the wedding guest should remain in the vicinity of the Lodge.

Commissioner Buchanan questioned if A. Renee held a catering license. Tracey Covert, City Clerk, responded affirmatively.

Motion by Commissioner Tompkins, seconded by Commissioner Buchanan to recommend the suspension of City ordinance for a wedding reception being held at Davis Lodge on June 10, 2011.

Motion carried.

Commissioner Stockton noted that an Ordinance to suspend the City Code would appear on the Council's May 9, 2011 Meeting Consent Agenda. He encouraged their attendance.

There being no further business before the Commission, the meeting recessed at 4:45 p.m.

The Bloomington Liquor Commissioner Stephen Stockton called the Liquor Hearing to order to hear the request of Super Parkway Liquor, LLC d/b/a Parkway Liquor, located at 2303 E. Washington St., Unit #3, requesting a PAS liquor license which would allow the sale of all types of packaged alcohol for consumption off the premises seven (7) days a week. Present at the hearing were Liquor Commissioners Steve Stockton, Richard Buchanan, Marabeth Clapp, and Geoffrey Tompkins; Rosalee Dodson, Asst. Corporation Counsel and Tracey Covert, City Clerk, and Rakesh and Sanol Patel, owner/operators and Applicant representatives.

Commissioner Stockton opened the liquor hearing and requested that the Applicant explain this request. Rakesh Patel, owner/operator and Applicant representative, addressed the Commission. The plan was to rent the former location of Buddy's Liquor.

Commissioner Stockton questioned the Applicant's experience. Mr. Patel informed the Commission that currently he held two (2) City liquor licenses, Famous Liquors located at 1404 E. Empire St. and Amigo's Express located at 502 N. Prospect Rd. He also had owned and operated Main St. Convenient Store located at 1919 S. Main St. for eleven (11) years. Commissioner Stockton questioned if there had been any violations and/or issues at these three (3) locations. Mr. Patel believed that there had been a single violation at Famous Liquors. In addition, there was an outstanding payment due the City for Packaged Liquor Tax, (\$2,500 - \$2,800). Payment was due by March 25, 2011. There were no issues with Amigo Express.

Commissioner Stockton noted that approval of this application would be contingent upon the Applicant being current with all existing licenses. He noted this applications proximity to Famous Liquors. Mr. Patel believed that the two (2) establishments would attract different clientele. He saw this location as a good business opportunity. He described Famous Liquors as a large volume store which offered a wide selection of beer and wine. The former Buddy's location was smaller and would offer single serve sale items.

Commissioner Thompson noted the location of Famous Liquors and the new location of Buddy's Liquors, (806 Eldorado Rd.). Commissioner Stockton noted the location of Friar Tuck, (2401 Maloney). Commissioner Tompkins added the proximity of this application to the new Buddy's Liquor.

Commissioner Buchanan questioned if Mr. Patel would remain involved with Famous Liquors as an owner/operator. Mr. Patel responded affirmatively. He planned to work at Famous Liquors four to five (4 - 5) hours per day plus weekends.

Commissioner Buchanan commented on the concept of need, i.e. necessity. He noted that the Commission generally addressed compliance with the City's requirements. It also allowed the market to decide. He added that last year the observation was that Famous Liquors was not doing well. Mr. Patel acknowledged that it had been a struggle but business was improving. Commissioner Buchanan commented on the display and inventory six (6) months prior. Mr. Patel restated that business was better. Commissioner Buchanan noted the number of packaged liquor licenses in the area.

Commissioner Stockton addressed the outstanding balance due the City. Mr. Patel informed the Commission that January and February 2011 had been slow. He cited cash flow issues. He did not anticipate any future issues.

Commissioner Tompkins had visited this location. He addressed security issues. Mr. Patel stated that security cameras would be installed. Cameras had been installed at his other businesses.

Motion by Commissioner Clapp, seconded by Commissioner Buchanan that the application of Super Parkway Liquor, LLC, d/b/a Parkway Liquor located at 2303 E. Washington St., Unit #3, requesting a PAS liquor license which allows the sale of all types of packaged liquor for consumption off the premises seven (7) days a week be approved.

Ayes: Commissioner Clapp.

Nays: Commissioners Stockton, Buchanan and Tompkins.

Motion failed.

Commissioner Buchanan stated that he was not opposed to this application. He voted nay due to concern about need. He had observed the clientele at the former Buddy's Liquor. The clientele would be the same. He also expressed his concern regarding the Applicant's payment history as a license holder.

Commissioner Tompkins addressed neighborhood concerns. He noted the Council's concerns regarding the number of liquor licenses in the area. Therefore, he voted nay. He also echoed Commissioner Buchanan's comments.

Mr. Patel stated that changes would be made. The Commission had no reason to be concerned.

Commissioner Stockton noted the Commission's past policy of letting the market work. He also cited the impact upon the neighborhood. The Commission needed to look beyond competition. Buddy's Liquor had just relocated. The Commission had concerns.

Mr. Patel noted that Buddy's had been at this location for years. He did not believe that there was any opposition to this application. He also stated his belief that there had been violations at this location in the past. Commissioner Stockton recalled a single violation.

Commissioner Stockton noted that the Commission's vote did not preclude the Applicant from making future applications. He restated the Commission's concerns regarding the number of liquor licenses in the area. In addition, the Commission was concern about the Applicant's unpaid taxes to the City.

Commissioner Buchanan noted that the lease had not been signed. He expressed his hope that the lease was contingent upon the liquor license being granted. Mr. Patel responded affirmatively.

Commissioner Stockton informed the Applicant that this item would appear on the May 9, 2011 City Council Consent Agenda. He encouraged them to attend this meeting.

There being no further business before the Commission, the meeting recessed at 5:05 p.m.

The Bloomington Liquor Commissioner Stephen Stockton called the Liquor Hearing to order to hear the request of R & J Enterprises, LLC d/b/a Caboose, located at 608 W. Seminary,

requesting an RAS liquor license which would allow the sale of all types of alcohol by the glass for consumption on the premises seven (7) days a week. Present at the hearing were Liquor Commissioners Steve Stockton, Richard Buchanan, Marabeth Clapp, and Geoffrey Tompkins; Rosalee Dodson, Asst. Corporation Counsel and Tracey Covert, City Clerk, and Randy Gelsthorpe, owner/operator and Applicant representative.

Commissioner Stockton opened the liquor hearing and requested that the Applicant explain this request. Randy Gelsthorpe, owner/operator and Applicant representative, addressed the Commission. The Caboose would be acquired on a contract for deed. The agreement was contingent upon a liquor license being granted. For the first couple of months, he would work as the day operator. He was currently employed with Electrolux. The company was leaving the City and relocating to North Carolina. His plans for the business included eventually being open for breakfast and lunch.

Commissioner Stockton questioned Mr. Gelsthorpe's motivation. Mr. Gelsthorpe stated it had been his dream to operate a restaurant/bar. He acknowledged that he had no experience in this industry. He noted that his role with his current employer was a business within a business. He was currently working with the EDC, (Economic Development Council), and the Small Business Center. He was also working with an accountant. The current staff would be provided with additional training.

Commissioner Tompkins noted the floor plan. He wished Mr. Gelsthorpe the best of luck.

Commissioner Clapp questioned occupancy. Mr. Gelsthorpe estimated occupancy at 96 - 100.

Commissioner Clapp noted that this business was currently operated by an experience license holder. Mr. Gelsthorpe added that he had no knowledge of any violations.

Rosalee Dodson, Asst. Corporation Counsel, noted that the LLC application was pending. Mr. Gelsthorpe cited the cost to incorporate at \$700. He expressed his hope for a favorable recommendation from the Commission. He had already met with an attorney. Ms. Dodson questioned if Mr. Gelsthorpe anticipated any problems with the state. Mr. Gelsthorpe responded negatively.

Commissioner Buchanan noted that Mr. Gelsthorpe had filed as an LLC. He questioned the business hours. Mr. Gelsthorpe cited the following business hours: Monday through Thursday - 3:00 p.m. until 1:00 a.m. and Friday and Saturday - 3:00 p.m. until 2:00 a.m. He added the following kitchen hours: Monday through Thursday - 5:00 - 9:00 p.m. and Friday and Saturday - 5:00 - 10:00 p.m. Commissioner Buchanan noted that after 9:00/10:00 p.m., the Caboose turned into a tavern. He believed that alcohol sales equaled thirty to forty percent, (30 - 40%). Mr. Gelsthorpe responded affirmatively. This figure was based upon research. He wanted the Caboose to be a restaurant with a bar. There were no tappers. He restated his plan to offer breakfast and lunch.

Commissioner Buchanan questioned if the current staff would be retained. Mr. Gelsthorpe stated his intention to evaluate the existing staff. He needed to learn the business and evaluate same.

Commissioner Buchanan questioned the data for food and alcohol sales. He also questioned if Mr. Gelsthorpe had reviewed the pro forma. Mr. Gelsthorpe had only been provided with receipt data. He had not been provided with any expense information.

Commissioner Buchanan noted Mr. Gelsthorpe's intention to remain open until 2:00 a.m. on the weekends. Mr. Gelsthorpe responded affirmatively if there were customers. The Caboose offers a U shaped bar with thirty-four (34) stools. Commissioner Buchanan expressed his opinion that it was possible for the Caboose to become a tavern.

Commissioner Tompkins questioned if the current liquor license was an RAS. Tracey Covert, City Clerk, responded affirmatively. Mr. Gelsthorpe noted that currently the Caboose was not open on Sundays.

Commissioner Stockton noted the Commission's ability to audit any license holder. He cautioned that the Caboose might turn into a tavern. He specifically cited during the late evening. He questioned if a limited menu would be available. He cited the Commission's ability to place conditions upon the liquor license.

Commissioner Stockton also questioned if the Caboose was current on its taxes. Mr. Gelsthorpe believed the correct answer was yes.

Commissioner Stockton recommended that any motion made include the following conditions that the license not be made available until proper remittance of information to the City, assurance that all past due taxes are paid and tavern rules applied one (1) hour after the kitchen closes.

Mr. Gelsthorpe noted that the kitchen closing hour could be later. He cited the possibility of a City audit. Commissioner Buchanan noted that customers would be provided with time to eat.

Motion by Commissioner Buchanan, seconded by Commissioner Clapp that the application of R & J Enterprises, LLC, d/b/a Caboose located at 608 W. Seminary, requesting an RAS liquor license which allows the sale of all types of alcohol by the glass for consumption on the premises seven (7) days a week be approved with the following conditions: 1.) taverns rules would apply at midnight or one (1) hour after the kitchen closes; 2.) prior to license issuance proof of LLC must be filed with the City Clerk's Office; and 3.) all tax payments be current.

Motion carried.

Commissioner Stockton informed the Applicant that this item would appear on the May 9, 2011 City Council Consent Agenda. He encouraged him to attend this meeting.

There being no further business before the Commission, the meeting recessed at 5:25 p.m.

The Bloomington Liquor Commissioner Stephen Stockton called the Liquor Hearing to order to hear the request of R.I.P. Oil, d/b/a Six Points Fast Stop, located at 1001 Six Points Rd., currently holding a GPBS liquor license which allows the sale of packaged beer and wine for

consumption off the premises seven (7) days a week for a change of classification to a PAS liquor license which would allow the sale of all types of packaged alcohol for consumption off the premises seven (7) days a week. Present at the hearing were Liquor Commissioners Steve Stockton, Richard Buchanan, Marabeth Clapp, and Geoffrey Tompkins; Rosalee Dodson, Asst. Corporation Counsel and Tracey Covert, City Clerk, and Karen Anderson, Vice President - Operations and License holder representative.

Commissioner Stockton opened the liquor hearing and requested that the License Holder explain this request. He noted the road project. Karen Alexander, Vice President - Operations and License holder representative, addressed the Commission. This request was for a change of classification. The state had purchased a portion of the business' land for the road project. Fast Stop could no longer sell gasoline. She noted their faithful clientele. Fast Stop would like to offer all types of alcohol products. She noted that there had not been any violations. An identification scanner would be installed to complete a sale. There also was a plan to improve the camera system. Fast Stop planned to remain at the current location.

Commissioner Stockton questioned product offerings. Ms. Alexander noted that smaller bottles would be stocked behind the counter. There would be a four to eight foot, (4 - 8'), section of shelving which would be stocked with 750 ml bottles. Commissioner Buchanan noted that some spirits would be stocked in a regular display. Ms. Anderson added that all alcohol would be stocked close to the register.

Commissioner Buchanan informed the Commission that he had visited the store. He noted the neighborhood traffic. He cited nearby apartments which brought pedestrian traffic. He described Fast Stop as a neighborhood store. Ms. Anderson responded affirmatively. Customers were heart sick that the store might close.

Commissioner Clapp questioned the store's inventory. Ms. Anderson cited the following products: lottery, tobacco, groceries, snacks, pop, and beer/wine. Commissioner Clapp questioned the percent of sales from alcohol. Ms. Anderson stated currently beer/wine sales equaled thirty-five percent, (35%). She hoped with an "A", All types of alcohol liquor license, that liquor sales would increase by five percent (5%). The item with the greatest percentage of sales was lottery.

Commissioner Stockton questioned the mailing for this liquor hearing. Tracey Covert, City Clerk, noted adjacent properties and an additional 250' which included the nearby apartments. Commissioner Stockton cited recent events and Council concerns. There were policy factors to be considered. He noted all types of alcohol near residential areas. He described the area around Fast Stop as more commercial.

Ms. Anderson noted that she also operated the Express Barn located at Linden and College, Normal. She knew how to manage liquor sales. The Fast Stop was no able to sell gasoline. Commissioner Stockton noted that at one (1) time the City allowed GPA liquor licenses. The existing GPA license holders were grandfathered and there were three (3) remaining. He addressed the issue of timing. Ms. Anderson noted that summer was coming. Fast Stop planned

to expand its camera system. An identification scanner would be installed. Security tags would also be used. Fast Stop would have the ability to monitor alcohol sales.

Commissioner Stockton stated that Ms. Anderson's comments were encouraging. He was uneasy with this request. He believed that Fast Stop could be successful. He requested patience from Fast Stop.

Commissioner Buchanan suggested that there was no data which documented that there were unique problems with all types of alcohol liquor licenses. He added that this was an experienced establishment. He believed that Fast Stop had the ability to manage an "A" versus a "B" liquor license. He expressed his opinion that beer was the problematic drink.

Commissioner Stockton believed that today's discussion had been helpful.

Commissioner Tompkins stated that this was a neighborhood store. He encouraged Ms. Anderson to start a petition which would show the neighborhood's support. Fast Stop needed to work with the neighborhood. He encouraged Ms. Anderson to contact the alderman. He believed in the long this request would prevail.

Commissioner Buchanan noted Price Rite's request and described the result as a travesty.

Motion by Commissioner Tompkins, seconded by Commissioner Buchanan that the request of R.I.P. Oil, d/b/a Fast Stop located at 1001 Six Points Rd., currently holding a GPBS liquor license which allows the sale of packaged beer and wine for consumption off the premises seven (7) days a week requesting a change in classification to a PAS liquor license which would allow the sale of all types of packaged alcohol for consumption off the premises seven (7) days a week be laid over until June 14, 2011.

Ayes: Commissioner Stockton, Clapp and Tompkins.

Nays: Commissioner Buchanan.

Motion carried.

Commissioner Stockton noted that a special meeting be called to discuss license fees and "A", all types of alcohol liquor license. Commissioner Stockton added his hope to hear from the Downtown Entertainment Task Force.

Commissioner Buchanan noted that the Commission needed guideline/procedures for neighborhood stores. He expressed his concern regarding Council action. A change of classification was at the discretion of the Commission. He cited Chapter 6. Alcoholic Beverages, Section 4F Change of Classification.

Commissioner Stockton addressed the Tailwind situation. An appeal hearing was scheduled before the state Liquor Control Commission in Springfield on May 19, 2011. The hearing would be on the record. A decision will be reached based upon same. He expressed his hope that

sufficient information had been provided. No new information will be presented. The City was unsure which record would be used the Liquor Commission Minutes or the Council Proceedings. The appeal would address the second hearing. He restated that the state's Liquor Control Commission would hear the appeal. The City believed that this was the wrong venue.

Rosalee Dodson, Asst. Corporation Counsel, expressed her opinion that the appeal should have been filed in Circuit Court.

Commissioner Stockton noted that the City's Corporation Counsel Office would address the City's response. The state Liquor Control Commission may provide direction to the City. He had spoken with the Liquor Control Commission's legal staff.

Commissioner Tompkins questioned if the Liquor Control Commission would take the Commission and Council's votes into consideration. Commissioner Stockton responded affirmatively.

Commissioner Stockton stated that the Council needed to set a date for the special meeting. Consensus was reached to hold the meeting on Thursday, April 21, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. Agenda items for discussion would include liquor license fees and penalties and all types of alcohol liquor licenses in residential neighborhoods.

There being no further business before the Commission, the meeting adjourned at 5:55 p.m.

Respectfully,

Tracey Covert, CRM, CMC, RMC City Clerk